Filed 09/12/2007 Filed 09/11/2007

Page 1 of 2 Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ATECH FLASH TECHNOLOGY INC. & SUNUS SUNTEK INC.	CASE NO. C07-02949 PVT
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. CONSESSION
v. MARTIN C. LIN, ET AL.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met at following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the follow	ving ADR process:
appreciably more likely to meet their needs ADR phone conference and may not file th ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Ruprivate Process:	NE) (ADR L.R. 5) ttlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an is form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
The parties agree to hold the ADR session the presumptive deadline (Treferring the case to an ADE other requested deadline	he deadline is 90 days from the date of the order R process unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated: 09/11/2007	Yung-Ming Chou /s/
	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 09/11/2007	Jeffrey K. Lee (with permission) /s/
	Attorney for Defendant

ORDER OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

✓ Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

✓ 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 9/12/67

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Bapicia V. marlell